(3)

Rs. 20/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 2084 /2005 **SINGLE BENCH**

PETITIONER

Mohd. Samiur Rahman, S/o. Late Abdul Rashid, Aged about 25 years, R/o. Kharsiya Naka, Ambikapur District Surguja (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Transport, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.
2. Regional Transport Authority, Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. Secretary, Regional Transport Authority Bilaspur.
4. Deepak Sharma, Resident of Patthalgaon, District Jashpur.

P.R. No. 2252/05 Presented by Shri Samir Rahman dated 20/5/05

RECEIVED-COPY 20.5.05 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(53)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P.No..2084 **सन्** **200** 5

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	17-8-2005 Shri B. K. Rawat, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Yashwant Singh Thakur, Govt. Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3. Heard both the counsel. By the instant petition the petitioner has challenged the grant of temporary permit in favour of respondent No.4 without formulation of the route under Section 68 (3) (ca) of the M.V. Act by the R.T.A. on the route Supkalo to Kapu via Patthalgaon and Dharamjaigarh. The term of temporary permit granted in favour of respondent No.4 has already been expired. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the State Government has not formulated any route from Supkalo to Kapu and temporary permit has been granted in favour of respondent No.4. Part of petitioner's route is affected by grant of temporary permit to respondent No.4. In the Writ Petition No.2532/2005 (**Mohd. Kayum Khan V. Regional Transport Authority, Chhattisgarh & another**) vide order dated 5th August 2005 this Court held that even temporary permit cannot be granted without formulation of the route. Therefore, respondent No.2 is directed to follow the above order passed by this Court in the matter of Mohd. Kayum Khan Vs. Regional Transport Authority, Chhattisgarh & another, while granting temporary permit. With the direction and observation aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.1651/2005 also stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	
Barve		